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ABSTRACT

Background: Accelerated atherosclerosis has become an important cause of death in Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE) patients. The protective role of HDL-C against atherosclerosis is believed to be mainly due to the enzyme paraoxonase1 (PON1) which functions to prevent LDL-C from peroxidation. Decreased PON1 activity has been observed in SLE patients.

Objectives: In the present study, we aimed to determine the PON1 activity and PON1 Q192R polymorphism in SLE patients and to study their relation to premature atherosclerosis. Methods: 40 SLE patients and 20 age & sex matched controls were included. PON1 activity was determined by paraoxon substrate. PON1 genotyping was conducted by PCR followed by polymorphism-specific restriction enzyme digestion and gel electrophoresis. Atherosclerosis was assessed by intima-media thickness (IMT). Results: Significantly lower PON1 activity and higher IMT was found in SLE patients compared to controls (p<0.05). Significant negative correlation was observed between PON1 activity and IMT, SLEDAI score, total cholesterol and LDL-C. There was no significant difference in the prevalence of any of the PON1 Q192R polymorphisms in SLE patients compared to controls. PON1 activity was lowest in the QQ, intermediate in QR and highest in RR group (p<0.05) and the mean IMT was highest in RR, intermediate in QR and lowest in QQ group (p<0.05). Conclusion: Although there was no association between any of the PON1Q192R polymorphism and SLE, PON1 activity was significantly decreased in SLE patients and was significantly correlated with the severity of atherosclerotic lesions. And thus, it might be involved in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis in SLE patients. [Egypt J Rheumatology & Clinical Immunology, 2014; 2(1): 27-35]
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INTRODUCTION

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a chronic inflammatory autoimmune disease characterized by systemic inflammation and increased production of a wide range of auto-antibodies directed against a multiplicity of antigens. Accelerated atherosclerosis and early coronary artery disease (CAD) have become important causes of death and hospitalization in SLE patients. The risk of myocardial infarction in SLE patients is 50 times higher than the general population1 and coronary artery disease accounts for up to 30% of all deaths in some reported series2.

Classic risk factors for cardiovascular disease in SLE appear to be similar to those in the general population, but specific factors such as steroid treatment, chronic inflammation, and renal disease could account for enhanced atheroma formation3. Dyslipoproteinemia is a major factor in the development of atherosclerosis in SLE patients who are characterized by elevated triglycerides and very low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (VLDL-C) and reduced levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) and apolipoprotein (Apo) A-1.4,5

The oxidative modification of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) in the arterial wall is believed to be the major pathogenetic mechanism behind the initiation and acceleration of atherosclerosis, and thus, coronary artery disease5. HDL-C on the other hand is known to have a protective effect. The protective role of HDL-C is believed to be mainly due to the enzyme paraoxonase1 (PON1), a Ca++ dependent esterase, bound to its surface and functions to prevent LDL-C from peroxidation, which is believed to be central to the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis6. In addition to preventing peroxidation, it also hydrolyzes the oxidized LDL as shown in-vivo and in-vitro studies7,8. It has been shown that PON1 knockout mice cannot hydrolyze the oxidized LDL and have increased risk of developing CAD9. This has led to the hypothesis that the lower the PON1 activity is, the higher will be the accumulation of oxidized LDL and susceptibility to atherosclerotic disease and CAD.
There is a wide variation in PON1 activity in different ethnic groups and within individuals in the same ethnic group\textsuperscript{11}. The human PON1 gene is located on the long arm of chromosome 7 between q21.3 and q22.1 with other members of its supergene family\textsuperscript{12,13}. Two common coding region single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) in PON1 gene occur; a glutamine (Q) to arginine (R) substitution at position 192 (Q192R) which affects PON1 enzyme activity and a leucine (L) to methionine (M) substitution at position 55 (L55M). The amino acid polymorphism at position 192 results in two alloenzymes, which differ in their hydrolytic activity towards lipid-peroxides. The A alloenzyme (Glu at 192) is more efficient at hydrolyzing lipid-peroxides on LDL than the B alloenzyme (Arg at 192) which may be relevant to the anti-atherogenic role of PON1\textsuperscript{14}. Thus, the PON1 polymorphisms are important in determining the capacity of HDL to protect LDL against oxidative modification and this may explain the relationship between the PON1 alleles and CAD in case-control studies\textsuperscript{13}.

There is emerging evidence that PON1 activity is decreased in SLE patients, thus interfering with the protective functions of HDL favoring atherogenesis\textsuperscript{15}. We aimed in the present study to determine the PON1 Q192R polymorphism and PON1 activity in SLE patients and to study their relation to premature atherosclerosis assessed by IMT and to some of the other risk factors for cardiovascular diseases in SLE patients.

**SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

**Subjects**

The study comprised a total of 40 SLE women with a mean age of 27.63±6.11 years. All patients fulfilled the updated American college of rheumatology revised criteria for the classification of SLE\textsuperscript{15}. Patients were recruited from the Internal Medicine departments and Outpatient Clinics of Cairo University Hospital. Consecutive eligible patients, aged \(>18\) years were enrolled. All parameters that are known to influence PON1 activity, such as smoking, diabetes mellitus, chronic renal failure, nephrotic syndrome and lipid lowering drug intake, were excluded. Also, patients with a history of myocardial infarction, angina or stroke were excluded. Twenty age and sex matched healthy volunteers served as controls. An informed consent was obtained from all participants in the study.

**Methods**

Patients were subjected to full history taking including current drug therapy, thorough clinical examination including systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP) and body mass index (BMI).

Blood specimens were collected from patients and controls after an overnight fast for the assessment of complete blood count (CBC), fasting blood glucose (FBG), serum creatinine, total cholesterol (TC), LDL-C, HDL-C, triglycerides (TG) and PON1 activity. Peripheral blood leucocytes isolated from EDTA-treated blood was used for genomic DNA preparation. Samples were stored at \(-80^\circ\text{C}\) until analysis of PON1 activity and genotypes.

In patients with SLE, erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), antinuclear antibody (ANA), anti-double-stranded DNA and serum complement levels C3 & C4 were measured. Complete urine analysis for casts and proteinuria by dipstick measurement were also assessed. SLE disease activity was assessed using the SLE disease activity index (SLEDAI) score\textsuperscript{16}.

PON1 activity assay: Paraoxonase activity was measured according to Furlong et al. (1988)\textsuperscript{17}. Paraoxonase activity was measured using 1.2 mM paraoxon (O, O-diethyl, p-nitrophenyl phosphate) provided by Sigma-Aldrich/ Fluka, St. Louis (USA) as a substrate; in 50 mM Tris HCl buffer (pH 6.8) containing 1.0 mM CaCl\(\text{2}\). The rate of generation of 4-nitrophenol was monitored at 25°C with a continuously recording spectrophotometer at 412 nm.

\[
\text{PON activity} = \frac{[\Delta \text{Abs/min}] \times \frac{1}{(k)} \times \frac{tv}{sv} \times \frac{1}{d} \times 10^{9}}{\varepsilon}
\]

where \(\Delta \text{Abs/min}\) is blank-corrected change in absorbance/min, \(k\) is the molar absorbance coefficient, (7480 liter · mol\(^{-1}·\)cm\(^{-1}\)), \(tv\) is the total reaction volume (0.3 ml), \(sv\) is the sample reaction volume (0.04 ml), and \(d\) is the light path (0.6 cm). Paraoxonase activity was expressed as U/L.

PON1 genotyping: Genomic DNA was extracted from EDTA-anticoagulant peripheral blood leucocytes using QIAamp DNA blood Mini kit supplied by Qiagen, Hilden, Germany. Genotyping was conducted by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification followed by polymorphism-specific restriction enzyme digestion by AlwI (Fermentas international Inc, Hurrington Court, Burlington Ontario, Canada), and gel electrophoresis analysis. The Q192R polymorphisms (A/G) were determined following a protocol developed by Adkins et al. (1993)\textsuperscript{18}. The sense primer 5'-TAT TGT TGC TGT GGG ACC TGA G-3' and anti-sense primer 5'-CAC GCT AAA CCC AAA TAC ATC TC-3' which encompass the 192 polymorphic region of the human PON1 gene (CCA/CGA) were used. These primers were designed by metabion-international, AG, Germany. PCR was carried out in 50 µl final volume containing 100 ng genomic DNA, 25 pmol of each primer, 0.2 mM of...
each dNTPs, 50 mM KCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8),
1.5 mM MgCl2 and 2.5 U of Taq DNA polymerase
(Promega, USA). After denaturing the DNA for 5 min
at 95°C, the reaction mixture was subjected to 46
cycles of denaturation for 1 min at 94°C, 30 s
annealing at 61°C and 1 min extension at 72°C using
Hybaid thermal cycler. All PCR products were
electrophoresed on 2% agarose gel stained with
ethidium bromide and visualized by UV
transillumination. The 99 bp PCR products were
digested with 8U AlwI restriction endonuclease
overnight at 50°C. The digested products were
separated on 4% agarose gel with ethidium bromide
staining and ultraviolet transillumination. The RRR-
genotype (arginine) contains a unique AlwI restriction
site which results in 65 and 34 bp products and the
QQ-genotype (glutamine) will not cut which results in
a single band at 99 bp, whereas, the heterozygous QR-
genotype appear as 3 bands at 99, 65, and 34 bp.

Measurement of intima-media thickness (IMT): In
order to estimate early-stage atherosclerosis
ultrasonographic scanning of the carotid artery was
performed using an echographic system (ATL HDI
5000, USA) with an electric linear transducer (mid-
frequency 7.5 MHz). The detection limit of this
echosystem using 7.5 MHz was 0.1 mm. Scanning of the
extracranial common carotid artery, the carotid
bulb, and the internal carotid artery (ICA) in the neck
was performed bilaterally from two different
longitudinal scanning (i.e. anterolateral and
posterolateral) as well as cross-sectional scanning.
Characteristic patterns showed two parallel echogenic
lines separated by a hypoechogenic space. The IMT
was defined as the distance from the leading edge of
the first echogenic line to the leading edge of the
second line. The first line represented luminal-intimal
transition and the second one medial-adventitial
transition. The site of the greatest thickness including
a plaque lesion was sought along the arterial walls.
Three determinations of IMT were conducted at the
site of the thickest point and two adjacent points
(located 1cm upstream and 1cm downstream from the
thickest point). These three determinations were
averaged (mean IMT).

Statistical Analysis
Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS)
program version 15 was used for analysis of data. Data
was summarized as mean±SD. Mann-Whitney test
was used for analysis of 2 quantitative data. Pearson’s
correlation was used for detection of the relation
between 2 variables. P-value was considered
significant if < 0.05.

RESULTS
The main demographic and biochemical features
(mean±SD) in SLE patients and control subjects are
shown in Table (1). There was no significant
difference between the two groups regarding age,
SBP, DBP, FBG, TG and creatinine. On the other
hand, patients with SLE had significantly higher mean
value of BMI, TC, LDL-C (p<0.05 in all) and ESR
(p<0.0001) and a significantly lower mean value of
HDL-C (p<0.05) compared to control subjects. There
was a statistically significant lower mean value of
PON1 activity in SLE patients (525.2±198.7 U/L)
compared to control group (702.1±214.8 U/L)
(p<0.0001) (Figure 1). The mean IMT was
significantly higher in SLE patients (0.74±0.38 mm)
compared to control subjects (0.35±0.09 mm)
(p<0.0001) (Figure 2).

Of the 40 SLE patients 6 (15%) had IMT ≥1mm
and 34 (85%) had IMT<1mm. PON1 activity was
significantly lower in SLE patients with IMT ≥1mm
(440.8±95.6 U/L) compared to patients with
IMT<1mm (564.8±87.96 U/L) (p<0.05). Also, a
significant negative correlation was found between
PON1 activity and the IMT (p<0.05) (Table 2).

There was a significant negative correlation
between PON1 activity and SLEDAI score (P<0.05).
There was no significant correlation between PON1
activity and either TC, LDL-C, HDL-C or TG levels.
Also, there was no correlation between PON1 activity
and age, dose of corticosteroids, disease duration, SBP,
DBP, BMI, ESR, FBG or creatinine level (Table 2).
The prevalence of Q192R SNP in patients and
controls is shown in Table (3). Of all SLE patients 13
(33.75%) were QQ, 20 (48.75%) were QR and
7(17.5%) were RR. There was no statistically
significant difference between SLE patients and the
control group as regards the prevalence of either QQ,
QR or RR polymorphisms.

Table (4) shows the demographic and biochemical
data of SLE patients in relation to Q192R polymorphism.
PON1 activity was lowest in QQ group, intermediate in
QR group and highest in RR group (p=0.002) and the
mean IMT value was highest in RR group, intermediate
in QR group and lowest in QQ group (p<0.05). There
was a statistically significant difference between the three
groups as regards total cholesterol (p<0.05) and LDL-C
(p<0.05), with the highest level being in the RR group
and the lowest in the QQ group. There was no statistically
significant difference as regards age, BMI, SBP, DBP, FBG, serum creatinine, triglycerides, HDL-C,
ESR or SLEDAI score between the three groups.
Table 1. Demographic and biochemical characteristics in SLE patients and control subjects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>SLE (N=40) Mean±SD</th>
<th>Control (N=20) Mean±SD</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demographic features</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>27.63±6.11</td>
<td>27.8±4.61</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Metabolic features</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m2)</td>
<td>27.95±3.48</td>
<td>24.2±1.26</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>117±18.41</td>
<td>112±10.33</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>78±11.86</td>
<td>71.5±8.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBG (mg/dl)</td>
<td>111±15.3</td>
<td>108±11.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (mg/dl)</td>
<td>214.93±37.11</td>
<td>183.8±14.54</td>
<td>0.004*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mg/dl)</td>
<td>151.83±64.52</td>
<td>110.3±18.8</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C (mg/dl)</td>
<td>42.73±5.04</td>
<td>49.4±3.95</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C (mg/dl)</td>
<td>146±33.58</td>
<td>112.4±12.7</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (mg/dl)</td>
<td>0.64±0.14</td>
<td>0.6±0.11</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR (mmHg/1st hour)</td>
<td>60.36±23.74</td>
<td>15.42±3.68</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLEDAI</td>
<td>7.36±5.99</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraoxonase activity (U/L)</td>
<td>525.2±198.7</td>
<td>702.1±214.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMT (mm)</td>
<td>0.74±0.38</td>
<td>0.35±0.09</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = significantly different at p < 0.05

Table 2. Correlation between the studied parameters among SLE patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Paraoxonase</th>
<th>IMT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r</td>
<td>P-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demographic features</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration (years)</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current steroid dose (mg)</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Metabolic features</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m2)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBG (mg/dl)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (mg/dl)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mg/dl)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C (mg/dl)</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C (mg/dl)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (mg/dl)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR (mmHg/1st hour)</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLEDAI</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraoxonase activity (U/L)</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMT (mm)</td>
<td>-0.58</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* means significantly different at p < 0.05
Table 3. Prevalence of Q192R SNP frequencies in SLE patients and controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>SLE patients</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number (%)</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>(%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QQ</td>
<td>13 (33.75%)</td>
<td>7 (35%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QR</td>
<td>20 (48.75%)</td>
<td>9 (45%)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR</td>
<td>7 (17.5%)</td>
<td>4 (20%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = significantly different at p < 0.05

Table 4. Demographic and biochemical data of SLE patients in relation to Q192R polymorphism.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>QQ (N=13)</th>
<th>QR (N=20)</th>
<th>RR (N=7)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>26.9 ± 9.3</td>
<td>30.4 ± 6.2</td>
<td>29.0 ± 9.2</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>124.3 ± 14.4</td>
<td>129.4 ± 22.2</td>
<td>130.1 ± 16.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>85.0 ± 8.8</td>
<td>87.8 ± 11.4</td>
<td>88.1 ± 7.4</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBG (mg/dl)</td>
<td>107±11.2</td>
<td>115±11.1</td>
<td>113±10.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mg/dl)</td>
<td>151.8 ± 38.5&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>168.7 ± 33.8&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>194.2 ± 51.3&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mg/dl)</td>
<td>155.1 ± 46.6</td>
<td>140.3 ± 32.4</td>
<td>157.6 ± 54.3</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C (mg/dl)</td>
<td>44.0 ± 5.4</td>
<td>43.9 ± 7.1</td>
<td>42.4 ± 7.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C (mg/dl)</td>
<td>112.8 ± 29.3&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>146.5 ± 31.7&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>174.3 ± 40.3&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (mg/dl)</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR (mmHg/1st hour)</td>
<td>61.4 ± 20.64</td>
<td>58 ± 21.14</td>
<td>68.36 ± 13.34</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLEDAI</td>
<td>6.53 ± 4.11</td>
<td>6.48 ± 5.22</td>
<td>7.32 ± 5.74</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraoxonase activity (U/L)</td>
<td>647.8 ±203.4&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>558.2 ±187.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>434.3 ±150.8&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMT (mm)</td>
<td>0.62±0.29&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.74±0.31&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.86±0.29&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different alphabetical symbols indicate statistically significant difference
* = significantly different at p<0.05.

Figure 1. PON1 activity in SLE & controls (U/L).

Figure 2. IMT in SLE & controls (mm).
Patients with SLE have increased morbidity and mortality from accelerated atherosclerosis with consequent cardio- and cerebrovascular events. Clinical atherosclerotic events have been documented in 6–12% of SLE patients, while up to 40% of SLE patients are estimated to have subclinical plaque formation. Atherosclerosis is responsible for the inhibitory effects of HDL on LDL peroxidation and also has been demonstrated to hydrolyze oxidized lipid and lipid hydroperoxides in LDL. Accordingly, Watson et al. reported that inactivation of PON1 reduced the ability of HDL to inhibit both the oxidation of LDL and the alteration of macrophages and endothelium, both likely key factors in the inflammatory changes underlying atherogenesis. Finally, PON1 activity appears to play a role in maintaining the endothelial-atheroprotective effects of HDL.

In the present study, the carotid IMT was measured as a marker of accelerated atherosclerosis in women with SLE. The mean value of IMT was significantly higher in SLE patients compared to healthy controls (p<0.0001).

Our study revealed a significantly lower PON1 activity in SLE patients compared to controls (p<0.0001). There was a significant negative correlation between PON1 activity and IMT in SLE patients (p<0.0001). Also, patients with IMT ≥ 1 mm had significantly lower PON1 activity when compared to patients with IMT<1 mm (p<0.05). These results confirm the previous observation of low PON1 activity in SLE patients with clinical atherothrombotic complications compared to those without such complications, suggesting a pathogenic significance for PON1 activity in the development of atherosclerosis in lupus patients. In disagreement with our results, Tripi et al. (2006) in their study, observed no significant association with carotid vascular disease in SLE patients.

Most previous studies reported a decline in the PON1 activity in SLE patients. These investigators assessed the PON1 activity by paraoxon as a substrate in their assay. On the other hand, Tripi et al. (2006) as well as Kiss et al. (2007) used both phenyl acetate and paraoxon as substrates in their assay in the same SLE patients, and both studies found a significant decline in the PON1 activity when assessed by paraoxon. However, on assessment of the PON1 activity by phenyl acetate, Tripi et al. (2006) reported a significant increase in the PON1 activity in their patients, whereas, Kiss et al.,2007 did not find any significant change in the PON1 activity. In our study we used paraoxon as a substrate for assessment of the PON1 activity.

The mechanism of decreased PON1 activity in SLE and whether it is an association or a consequence of SLE remains unclear. Tripi et al. (2006), concluded that low PON1 activity is independently associated with SLE. The PON1 gene is located on chromosome 7q21.3 at 94.6 Mb which is in close proximity to a linkage peak on 7q21.1 at 77.5 Mb for SLE. Thus, PON1 is both a potential positional and a potential biologic candidate gene for SLE. On the contrary, reduced PON1 activity could be a consequence of inflammation and oxidative stress. Since inflammation and proinflammatory cytokines are associated with low PON1 activity, reduced PON1 activity could be due to inflammation in SLE patients. It has been speculated that not only might a chronic decrease in PON1 activity increase susceptibility to atherosclerosis but that more acute declines due to some intercurrent acute inflammatory condition could exacerbate LDL oxidation and, thus, foam cell generation in a critical part of a preexisting atheromatosus lesion, predisposing it to rupture and to an acute ischemic event due to clotting on the torn surface of the lesion.

A statistically significant negative correlation between PON1 activity and SLE disease activity assessed by SLEDAI score was observed in our study (p<0.05). In accordance with our finding, Batuca et al. (2009) found a significant negative correlation between PON1 activity and British Isles Lupus Assessment Group (BILAG-2004) disease activity index. However, other studies found no correlation between PON1 activity and SLEDAI.

Inhibition of PON1 activity by increased oxidative stress, which has been implicated in the pathogenesis of SLE, has also been considered. It has been reported that SLE activity is associated with deterioration of the antioxidant status. Increased oxidative stress inactivates PON1, and a decline in the PON1 activity in turn augments oxidative stress. Therefore, the triad of disease activity, oxidative stress, and PON1 activity forms a vicious circle and this explains the negative correlation between PON1 activity and SLEDAI.

In the present study, total cholesterol and LDL-C were significantly increased and HDL-C was significantly decreased in patients group compared to controls (p<0.05), but no significant difference in triglycerides levels was observed. However, there was no correlation between TC, LDL-C, HDL-C or triglycerides levels and PON1 activity. Similarly, Kiss et al. reported no correlation between PON1 activity and lipid parameters in SLE patients.
The concentration and mainly the activity of PON1 can be modified by several factors. Different polymorphisms have been described in the encoding and promoter regions of the PON1 gene, which affect serum PON1 activity and concentration, and hence the extent of LDL oxidation, and the risk of CAD in non-SLE patients. Many studies in human populations have suggested that these polymorphisms may increase the risk for atherosclerosis. Non-genetic factors such as age, gender, drugs and smoking may also influence PON1 activity. A strong association between PON1 Q192R polymorphism and PON1 activity has been found. One study reported that paraoxonase activity was determined 46% by PON1 192 genotype, 16% PON1 55, and 13% by PON1 92 genotype. The concentration and mainly the activity of PON1 can be modified by several factors. Different polymorphisms have been described in the encoding and promoter regions of the PON1 gene, which affect serum PON1 activity and concentration, and hence the extent of LDL oxidation, and the risk of CAD in non-SLE patients. Many studies in human populations have suggested that these polymorphisms may increase the risk for atherosclerosis. Non-genetic factors such as age, gender, drugs and smoking may also influence PON1 activity. A strong association between PON1 Q192R polymorphism and PON1 activity has been found. One study reported that paraoxonase activity was determined 46% by PON1 192 genotype, 16% PON1 55, and 13% by PON1 92 genotype. The concentration and mainly the activity of PON1 can be modified by several factors. Different polymorphisms have been described in the encoding and promoter regions of the PON1 gene, which affect serum PON1 activity and concentration, and hence the extent of LDL oxidation, and the risk of CAD in non-SLE patients. Many studies in human populations have suggested that these polymorphisms may increase the risk for atherosclerosis. Non-genetic factors such as age, gender, drugs and smoking may also influence PON1 activity. A strong association between PON1 Q192R polymorphism and PON1 activity has been found. One study reported that paraoxonase activity was determined 46% by PON1 192 genotype, 16% PON1 55, and 13% by PON1 92 genotype.

In the present work, both PON1 activity and PON1 Q192R polymorphism in SLE patients were studied. We investigated the effect of polymorphism on serum PON1 activity, various biochemical data and subclinical carotid vascular disease measures (IMT) in SLE patients.

There was no significant difference in the prevalence of any of the PON1 Q192R polymorphisms in SLE patients when compared to controls. Similar results were obtained by Tripi et al. (2006) and Abdel-Aziz et al. (2008), who found no significant association between PON1 Q192R gene polymorphism and the risk of SLE. These results indicate that the PON1 Q192R gene polymorphism is not related to genetic susceptibility to SLE. However, there was a significant association between PON1 Q192R polymorphism and PON1 activity in SLE patients. PON1 activity was highest in RR genotype, intermediate in QR genotype and lowest in QQ genotype (p=0.002). Our results were consistent with previous studies.

There was a significant increase in the IMT in patients with RR genotype compared to QR and QQ genotypes and in QR compared to QQ (p<0.05). The association between PON1 192R allele genotype and CAD have been previously reported. The concentration and mainly the activity of PON1 can be modified by several factors. Different polymorphisms have been described in the encoding and promoter regions of the PON1 gene, which affect serum PON1 activity and concentration, and hence the extent of LDL oxidation, and the risk of CAD in non-SLE patients. Many studies in human populations have suggested that these polymorphisms may increase the risk for atherosclerosis. Non-genetic factors such as age, gender, drugs and smoking may also influence PON1 activity. A strong association between PON1 Q192R polymorphism and PON1 activity has been found. One study reported that paraoxonase activity was determined 46% by PON1 192 genotype, 16% PON1 55, and 13% by PON1 92 genotype.

HDL isolated from RR homozygotes, although most active in the hydrolysis of paraoxon, was found to be much less effective at protecting LDL against lipid peroxidation than HDL from either QQ homozygotes or QR heterozygotes. Aviram et al. and Mackness et al. demonstrated that purified PON1Q (low activity phenotype) was more active than PON1 R in protection against LDL peroxidation. HDL protected LDL from oxidative modification, whatever the combination of PON1 alloenzymes present in it. However, with regard to the PON1Q192R polymorphism, PON1 QQ-HDL was most efficient at protecting LDL against oxidative modification and PON1 RR-HDL least efficient. This may explain why in some case control studies the PON1 R-allele was associated with CAD. PON1Q192R genotypes have been significantly associated with variation in the plasma concentration of HDL-C, LDL-C, and triglycerides. Homozygotes for the low-activity allele of PON1 had a less atherogenic lipoprotein profile than did heterozygotes and homozygotes for the high-activity allele. In our study, there were significantly higher mean levels of TC and LDL-C in RR genotype compared to QR and QQ genotypes and in QR compared to QQ genotype (p<0.05). No significant difference was observed in triglyceride or HDL-C levels.

In conclusion, although there was no association between any of the PON1Q192R polymorphisms and SLE, PON1 activity was significantly decreased in SLE patients and was significantly correlated with the severity of atherosclerotic lesions. And thus, PON1 activity may have a significant effect on the development of cardiovascular complications in SLE patients. There are over 200 SNPs in PON1 gene and so it is possible that other SNP in PON1 gene may affect SLE risk. Low serum PON1 activity independent of genotype may be due to disease related acquired factors interfering with PON1 activity such as inflammatory and oxidative stress.
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